1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney		
2	ELIZABETH S. SALVESON, State Bar #83788		
3	Chief Labor Attorney MARGARET BAUMGARTNER, State Bar #15176	52	
4	JILL J. FIGG, State Bar #168281 RAFAL OFIERSKI, State Bar #194798		
5	Deputy City Attorneys Fox Plaza		
6	1390 Market Street, Fifth Floor San Francisco, California 94102-5408		
7	Telephone: (415) 554-3859 Facsimile: (415) 554-4248 E-Mail: margaret.baumgartner@sfgov.or	~	
8		g	
9	Attorneys for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO et.al.		
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	MERCY AMBAT, et al.	Case No. C 07-3622	
14	,		
15	Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANTS' S REQUEST FOR J	UPPLEMENT UDICIAL NOTICE
16	vs.	IN SUPPORT OF DISMISS OR STA	MOTION TO
17	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	RESOLUTION O	
1/	FRANCISCO, THOMAS ARATA, STEPHEN TILTON, RODERICK	PROCEEDINGS	
18	WALLACE, JOHN MINOR, EDWARD	Hearing Date:	October 19, 2007
19	RUPPENSTEIN, JOHNA PECOT,	Time: Judge:	9:00 a.m. Hon. Susan Illston
20	Defendants.	Place:	Courtroom #10 19 th Floor
21		Trial Date:	None Set
22		-	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

INTRODUCTION

Defendants City and County of San Francisco, Thomas Arata, Stephen Tilton, Roderick Wallace, John Minor, Edward Ruppenstein, Johna Pecot (collectively the "City") by and through their counsel, hereby move this Court to take judicial notice of:

Plaintiffs' Case Management Conference Statement: San Francisco Deputy Sheriffs'
 Association, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco Office of the Sheriff, et al.;
 Case No. CPF-07-507047, filed February 20, 2007 (attached hereto as Exhibit A);

Judicial notice of these documents filed by plaintiffs in the state court action is appropriate pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201(b). The fact that these documents were filed by plaintiffs in the state court action is not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned. Federal courts routinely take judicial notice of pleadings filed in other actions. *See e.g. Saxton v. McDonnell Douglas Aircraft Company* (C.D.Cal.1977) 428 F.Supp. 1047, 1049, fn.5 ("The doctrine of judicial notice is very broad. It goes so far as to permit the Court to take judicial notice of its records and the pleadings in other cases."); *MGIC Indemnity Corp. v. Weisman* (9th Cir.1986) 803 F.2d 500, 504-05 (taking judicial notice of allegations made in motion to dismiss and supporting memorandum filed in different federal court action); *Rothman v. Gregor* (2nd Cir.2000) 200 F.3d 81, 91-92 (taking judicial notice that a party to a federal lawsuit had filed another complaint in state court).

1	For these reasons, judicial notice of the plaintiffs' state court pleadings is appropriate, and the		
2	City respectfully requests that the Court take judicial notice of attached Exhibits A.		
3	Dated: October 5, 2007		
4	DENNIS J. HERRERA City Attorney		
5	ELIZABETH S. SALVESON Chief Labor Attorney		
6	MARGARET BAUMGARTNER JILL J. FIGG		
7	RAFAL OFIERSKI Deputy City Attorney		
8			
9	By: /s/ MARGARET W. BAUMGARTNER		
10			
11	Attorneys for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO et. al.		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			